

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|--|------------------|
| 10/518,687 | 08/17/2005 | Masaaki Kobayashi | 890050.518USPC | 3523 |
| 500 7590 06/12/2007 SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC 701 FIFTH AVE | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | HA, NGUYEN T | |
| SUITE 5400 SEATTLE, WA 98104 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| obritibe, w | 1,0101 | | 2831 | |
| ' | | | NAME OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNE | DOLIVERY MODE |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/12/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/518,687 | KOBAYASHI ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Nguyen T. Ha | 2831 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | | | | |
| Period fo | • • | • | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS INSIGNS OF THE MAILING THE MAIL | ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may vill apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo cause the application to become | ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Au | <u>ıgust 2005</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> □ | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abey ion is required if the drawir | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ <i>a</i>)[| Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of | s have been received. s have been received in ity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No n received in this National Stage | | | | |
| | | | · | | | | |
| 2) D Notice 3) Inform | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>0805</u> . | Paper No | Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and **generally limited to a single paragraph** on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because there is more than one paragraph on the abstract.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to

be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 5 is rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 7 of U.S. Patent No. 7,099,145. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all the claimed limitation of the present application are recited in the claim of the US Patent No. 7,099,145 and therefore the claim 7 of the US Patent 7,099,145 anticipate the claim 5 of the present application.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-4, and 6 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

With respect to claims 1-4, the allowability in combination with the other claimed feature does not teach in the prior art alone or in combination the limitations of a solid electrolytic capacitor comprising a conductive metal substrate whose one end portion region is bonded to the other end portion region of the foil-like valve metal substrate, and a cathode electrode formed by sequentially laminating at least a solid high molecular polymer electrolyte layer and a conductive layer on the surface of the foil-like valve metal substrate, and a cathode lead electrode being drawn out from the cathode electrode in a direction perpendicular to one major surface of the foil-like valve metal substrate.

With respect to claim 6, the allowability in combination with the other claimed feature does not teach in the prior art alone or in combination the limitation of a method for manufacturing a solid electrolytic capacitor comprising the steps of: bonding one end portion region of a valve metal body to one of two opposite end portion regions of a foil-like valve metal substrate formed with an insulating oxide film on the surface thereof so that electric connection can be established between the valve metals, thereby forming an electrode body for a solid electrolytic capacitor component, and mounting the at least two solid electrolytic capacitor components on a lead frame, bonding one end portion region of an anode lead portion formed in the lead frame in advance to the other end portion of the valve metal body.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Citation Relevant of Prior Art

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a. Sakai et al. (US 6,696,138) disclose solid electrolytic capacitor and method for producing the same.
- b. Hasegawa et al. (US 5,390,074) disclose chip-type solid electrolytic capacitor and method of manufacturing the same.

c. Millard et al. (US 4,090,231) disclose screen printed solid electrolytic capacitor.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nguyen T. Ha whose telephone number is 571-272-1974. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext. 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786 9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NGUYEN T. HA PRIMARY EXAMINER

June 6, 2007